УДК 582.683.2:581.92(64)

Helicodraba, a new genus of *Arabideae* (Brassicaceae) endemic to Morocco

D. A. German^{1, 3*}, M. A. Koch^{2, 4}

¹ South-Siberian Botanical Garden, Altai State University, Lenin Ave., 61, Barnaul, 656049, Russia

² Centre for Organismal Studies Heidelberg (COS Heidelberg); Botanic Garden and Herbarium Heidelberg (HEID), University of Heidelberg, Im Neuenheimer Feld, 345, Heidelberg, D-69120, Germany

³ E-mail: oreoloma@rambler.ru; ORCID iD: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7951-1644

⁴ Email: marcus.koch@cos.uni-heidelberg.de; ORCID iD: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1693-6829

*Corresponding author

Keywords: Atlas Mts., Cruciferae, Maghreb, new combination, nomenclature, taxonomy, typification, SW Mediterranean.

Summary. A new generic name, *Helicodraba*, based on *Draba* sect. *Helicodraba*, is validated to accommodate one to two NW African (Moroccan part of Atlas Mts.) species morphologically and molecularly sharply deviating from the rest of *Draba*. Relevant specific and subspecific combinations are also published, lectotype designations are made and commented where needed. Besides, two combinations are validated in *Erophila*.

Helicodraba – новый род трибы Arabideae (Brassicaceae), эндемичный для Марокко

Д. А. Герман¹, М. А. Кох²

¹ Южно-Сибирский ботанический сад, Алтайский государственный университет, просп. Ленина, д. 61, г. Барнаул, 656049, Россия

² Центр исследований организмов; Ботанический сад и гербарий (HEID), Гейдельбергский университет, Им Нойенхаймер Фельд, д. 345, г. Гейдельберг, D-69120, Германия

Ключевые слова: Атлас, Магриб, новая комбинация, номенклатура, систематика, типификация, Юго-Западное Средиземноморье, Cruciferae.

Аннотация. В сообщении обнародуется новое родовое название Helicodraba, основанное на Draba sect. Helicodraba. Новый род включает от одного до двух видов, произрастающих на северо-западе Африки (марокканская часть Атласских гор) и морфологически сильно уклоняющихся от остальных представителей рода Draba, что соответствует и молекулярно-филогенетическим данным. Приводятся соответствующие комбинации видового и подвидового ранга, выбор лектотипов и необходимые пояснения. Дополнительно в род Erophila переводится два вида, недавно описанные в составе Draba.

Introduction

Among more than 400 species (Al-Shehbaz, 2018; 427 - authors' count) of the largest Brassicaceae (Cruciferae) genus Draba L., only one, D. heredifolia Coss. (or two, if subsp. cossoniana Maire is accepted as D. cossonii O. E. Schulz), endemic to High and Middle Atlas Mts., is peculiar for basal leaves with suborbicular-reniform, lobate and cordate at base blade sharply differentiated from much longer petiole. This feature provides superficial resemblance of D. hederifolia s. l. with members of Graellsia Boiss., a small (nine spp.; Esmailbegi et al., 2017) genus of SW Asian distribution, which prompted Hyam and Jury (1990) transferring the Moroccan species to the latter genus. Subsequent phylogenetic studies (Jordon-Thaden et al., 2010; Karl, Koch, 2013) demonstrated natural position of D. hederifolia in Arabideae DC., a tribe not closely related to Thlaspideae DC., where Graellsia belongs to (Al-Shehbaz, 2012), thus proving purely convergent character of the mentioned habitual similarity and fully supporting earlier conclusion of Poulter (1956) treated the two entities unrelated.

Generic placement of D. hederifolia s. l. was controversial from the very beginning: yet with the first mention of the species, then undescribed, Cosson (1875) questioned it as "Draba ? hederifolia". The problem was discussed by Jordon-Thaden et al. (2010) based on their first in-depth genus-wide phylogenetic study of Draba. These authors found its position in relation to the rest of the genus somewhat ambiguous, grouping, together with several New World Draba segregates nowadays recognized as separate genera Abdra Greene, Athysanus Greene and Tomostima Raf., outside the core Draba clade in ITS-based phylogeny or being, along with D. verna L. [also found outside core Draba in nr-based trees], earliest-deriving clades from the bulk of the genus in trnL-F phylogeny, as also found by Karl and Koch (2013). Though with an admission of possible correction, a conservative approach was applied, viz. keeping both D. hederifolia and D. verna in Draba, implying paraphyly of the genus, at least based on nuclear phylogeny, further supported by unpublished own data. In the most recent familywide phylogenetic analysis focusing on accepted genera and using a set of 1,000 genes, both taxa, D. verna and D. hederifolia, were missing (Hendriks et al., 2023), whilst other above-mentioned segregates closely related to Draba (namely Tomostima, Abdra and Athysanus) were included and grouped as monophyletic clade sister to Draba.

In summary, the inconsistent or uncertain phylogenetic position of D. verna and D. hederifolia with respect to Draba and the other generic segregates remained during the past years, and just recently a new phylogenetic approach has been introduced to unravel deep evolutionary reticulation and hybridization events (Walden et al., 2024). The approach relies on the reconstruction of a robust diploid backbone phylogeny based on more than 1,000 genes from the nuclear genome and using the individual paralogue genes of taxa under consideration to map their putative reticulate origin onto the phylogenetic backbone. The practical and theoretical concepts have been introduced exemplifying more than 450 accessions from Arabideae, which includes the herein discussed focal taxa. We used relevant results from this study to explore the evolutionary history of D. verna and D. hederifolia and present new insights into their deep reticulate history. Here we introduce a first proof-of-concept contribution, that the Paralogue PhyloGenomics (PPG) (Walden et al., 2024) can provide strong arguments for convincing taxonomic treatments in otherwise taxonomically challenging clades. Arabideae is a tribe with 63 % polyploid species, compared to approximately 43 % across the entire Brassicaceae (Hohmann et al., 2015). Although there is a robust understanding of relationships among major clades within the tribe (Karl, Koch, 2013), a series of contributions (Koch et al., 2006, 2010, 2012, 2017; Jordon-Thaden et al., 2010; Karl et al., 2012; Karl, Koch, 2013, 2014) highlighted substantial hybridization, reticulation, and introgression on various spatiotemporal scales, from closely related species to rather distant lineages of Arabideae.

Here, we argue for an alternative treatment removing the above-mentioned paraphyly of Draba which means recognition of both D. hederifolia and D. verna as members of separate genera. For the latter, it means acceptance of Erophila DC., a genus long and widely recognized in botanical literature and differing from Draba by divided petals. In contrast, D. hederifolia s. l. was never treated in a genus other than Draba or Graellsia, and erection of a new one is required under the suggested concept. For this, a combination based on relevant infrageneric taxon of a sectional rank validated by Schulz (1927) to accommodate the species in question, is most suitable. With the proposed exclusion of Helicodraba and Erophila, morphological delimitation of Draba is altered in a way that divided petals and 'Graellsialike' leaves are eliminated, leaving the rest of its characters unchanged.

Materials and methods

The study is based on treatment of *Draba hederifolia* s. l. collections of the herbaria mentioned below, of which specimens in B, BM, BP, BR, C, FI, G, K, LD, LE, P, W, WU, and Z were studied physically and others via the online platforms Global Plants (2024) and RECOLNAT (2024). "International Code of Nomenclature..." (Turland et al., 2018) was used as a guide in nomenclatural actions and considerations.

Phylogenetic inference and conclusions are based on the results presented by Walden et al. (2024) and details can be found therein.

Results

Phylogenetic placement

Among the studied clades and taxa from the tribe *Arabideae* (n = 387 accepted taxa [species and a few subspecies] following BrassiBase; Kiefer et al., 2014), a reliable diploid backbone phylogeny has been presented based on 994 nuclear encoded genes (Walden et al., 2024). Using this phylogenetic framework for paralogue placement of taxa identified or known as polyploids (such as *Draba verna* and *D. hederifolia*; Kiefer et al., 2014), the analyses resulted in 43 clusters of species with contrasting evolutionary history. Many of them indicate ancient hybridization, introgression and reticulation.

Draba hederifolia belongs to cluster no. 24 together with Tomostima, Abdra and Athysanus. Its origin is a deep reticulate event between progenitors of diploid Draba and a contact with some other Arabideae representatives (ancestors of Arabis aucheri Boiss., Aubrieta Adans. or the Arabis alpina L. – A. auriculata Lam. clade). The origin of this clade of taxa (Tomostima, Abdra, Athysanus and D. hederifolia) is therefore 7–10 my ago [for divergence estimates refer to Karl and Koch (2013) and Huang et al. (2020)].

Erophila stands alone and is assigned to cluster no. 23 with strong reticulate affinities to ancestors of *A. aucheri* and the diploid stem group of *Draba*. The origin of *Erophila* is younger than that of *D. hederifoila*, and it is roughly estimated as ca. 5–7 my ago.

In summary, both taxa are of an old reticulate/ hybrid origin and show strong but differing affinities to the progenitors of present-day *Draba*. These results favour a distinct generic treatment outside *Draba*.

Taxonomy

Helicodraba (O. E. Schulz) D. A. German et M. Koch, **gen. nov.** \equiv *Draba* sect. *Helicodraba* O. E. Schulz, 1927, in Engler, Pflanzenreich IV, 105 (Heft 89): 145.

Lectotype (designated here): H. hederifolia

The genus includes one to two rocky species confined to High and Middle Atlas Mountains (Morocco, NW Africa).

Helicodraba hederifolia (Coss.) D. A. German et M. Koch, **comb. nov.** \equiv *Draba hederifolia* Coss., 1880, Bull. Soc. Bot. France 27: 69. \equiv *Graellsia hederifolia* (Coss.) R. D. Hyam et Jury, 1990, Bot. J. Linn. Soc. 102(1): 21.

Original provenance indication: "In fissuris rupium montium imperii Maroccani interioris: Djebel Rat [Ghat] in ditione Aït Bou-Oulli provinciae Demnat, Djebel Ouensa ad austro-occidentem urbis Maroc (mulio Ibrahim)" (Cosson, 1880).

Lectotype (Hyam, Jury, 1990: 21 and designated here): "In fissuris rupium cacuminis montis Djebel Ouensa, ad austro-occidentem urbis Maroc, Maroc. Coll. Ibrahim 20 Junio 1875" [Plantae Atlanticae selectae exscicc. \mathbb{N} 108] (LE 01079248; iso – ANG 032385; AUT 010937; B 10 0154840; B [s. n.]; FI (3×); G 00422881; G 00422882; K 000230448; LE 01079250; LE 01079251; LY 0103827; LY 0103829; LY 0683422; MPU 009598; MPU 567999; MPU 568000; P 05356335; P 05356366; P 05356368; P 05356376; P 05426074; VTA 062717; VTA 062224; VTA 062227; W 1889-26685; W [s. n.]).

Notes.

1. The protologue includes two localities, the first of which refers to the next taxon. In their type designation, Hyam and Jury (l. c.) mentioned Djebel Ghat instead of Djebel Ouensa, but the date (20 VI 1875) clearly belongs to the latter locality which is correctly given in citation of specimens examined. So, this inaccuracy should be treated as an error to be corrected not preventing designation of the part of relevant gathering kept in LE as type (correctable to lectotype under Art. 7.11 of ICN (Turland et al., 2018)). Since there are three duplicates of this collection mounted on two sheets in LE (https://herbariumle.ru/?t=occ&id=19029&rid=im age_0047934; https://herbariumle.ru/?t=occ&id=19 141&rid=image_0048046), a second-step lectotype is chosen here.

2. Remaining original material includes collections of 1873 by Ibrahim from the same locality (G, K, LE, LY, P, VTA) and specimens of plants cultivated in late 1870ths from seeds collected by him (P, VTA).

3. A specimen in BP (\mathbb{N}° 201513) as if collected by Ibrahim in Djebel Ouensa on 20 June 1875, is mislabeled as it belongs to the next taxon and most likely represents original material of it.

Helicodraba hederifolia subsp. *cossoniana* (Maire) M. Koch, **comb. nov.** \equiv *Helicodraba cossonii* (O. E. Schulz) D. A. German, **comb. nov.** \equiv *Draba cossonii* O. E. Schulz, 1927, in Engler, Pflanzenreich IV, 105 (Heft 89): 147. \equiv *Draba hederifolia* subsp. *cossoniana* Maire, 1928, Bull. Soc. Hist. Nat. Afrique N. 19: 31. \equiv *Draba hederifolia* subsp. *cossonii* (O. E. Schulz) Maire, 1967, in Quézel & Lechevalier, Fl. Afrique N. 13: 278, comb. illeg. \equiv *Graellsia hederifolia* subsp. *cossonii* (O. E. Schulz) R. D. Hyam et Jury, 1990, Bot. J. Linn. Soc. 102(1): 21, as '(Schulz) Maire', comb. illeg.

Original provenance indication: "Südwestliche Mediterranprovinz. Marokko: Provinz Demnat, Djebel Ghat im Distrikt Aït Bou-Oulli (Ibrahim 1879 – am 5. August fruchtend, 1882 – am 28. Juli fruchtend)" (Schulz, 1927).

Lectotype (designated here): "Dj. Rat [Ghat]. Province de Demnat, Maroc. Ibrahim, 5 Août 1879" (B 10 0154839; iso – BM 013393288; BM 013393292; K 000230713; LE 01079252; MPU 567998; MPU 568001; MPU 568002; P 05356341; P 05356370; VTA 062226).

Notes.

1. The specimen from the less abundant collection from which an analytic drawing accompanying the protologue was made, is chosen as the lectotype in accordance with the ICN Recommendation 9A.3. (Turland et al., 2018).

2. Remaining original material is represented by syntypes: ANG 010779; AUT 010926; AUT 010987; B [s. n.]; BM 013393290; BM 013393291; BR 0000006993177; BR 0000006993504; C (2×); FI (6×); CLF 309885; G 00422868; G 00422889; G 00422870; GAP 025985; K 000230712; LD 88/20-0562; LE 01039442; LY 0683423; MARS 15124; MARS 61185; MPU 008587; MPU 043199; MPU 567991; MPU 567993; MPU 567995; MPU 567996; MPU 568004; MPU 568006; P 05356330; P 05356331; P 05356333; P 05356372; P 05356377; P 05356379; P 05426072; SLA 050164; VIL 008372; VTA 053742; VTA 062776; WU; Z 000172756; Z 000172758. Among them, MPU043199, VTA 053742 and VTA 062776 are mixed with Ibrahim's collection of 27 June 1881 that was not known to Schulz and does not belong to the original material of *D. cossonii*.

3. Possibly MPU 567994 lacking the date and collector information also belongs to the original material of this taxon.

4. Since we have no agreement among us regarding the rank (species vs. subspecies) of this particular taxon, both viewpoints are presented here accepted just by one of us each. Hence, these are not alternative names that are invalid under current edition of ICN (Art. 36.3; Turland et al., 2018); approach used by Boiko et al. (2018) is applied here instead.

As for *Draba verna* and its affinity, nearly all species have relevant binomials in *Erophila*. Combinations for the remaining two recently published species (Bomble, 2020, 2021) are given here.

Erophila droserifolia (Bomble) D. A. German et M. Koch, **comb. nov.** \equiv *Draba droserifolia* Bomble, 2020, Veröff. Bochumer Bot. Ver. 12(5): 111; id., 2021, Jahrb. Bochum. Bot. Vereins 12: 38.

Erophila glauca (Bomble) D. A. German et M. Koch, **comb. nov.** \equiv *Draba glauca* Bomble, 2020, Veröff. Bochumer Bot. Ver. 12(5): 116; id., 2021, Jahrb. Bochum. Bot. Vereins 12: 43.

Acknowledgements

Curators and managers of the herbaria visited are kindly thanked for the opportunity of treating the collections.

REFERENCES / ЛИТЕРАТУРА

Al-Shehbaz I. A. 2012. A generic and tribal synopsis of the Brassicaceae (Cruciferae). *Taxon* 61(5): 931–954. https://doi.org/10.1002/tax.615002

Al-Shehbaz I. A. 2018. A monograph of the South American species of Draba (Brassicaceae). Ann. Missouri Bot. Gard. 103(4): 463–590. https://doi.org/10.3417/2018184

Boiko G. V., Korniyenko O. M., Mosyakin S. L. 2018. New nomenclatural combinations for taxa of *Pentanema* (Asteraceae) occurring in Ukraine. *Ukr. Bot. J.* 75(5): 436–440. https://doi.org/10.15407/ukrbotj75.05.436

Bomble F. W. 2020. Zwei neue Draba subgen. Erophila-Arten in Aachen und Umgebung. Veröff. Bochumer Bot. Ver. 12(5): 110–125. https://www.botanik-bochum.de/publ/OVBBV12_5_Bomble_Draba_subgen_Erophila_Aachen_II.pdf Bomble F. W. 2021. Zwei neue Draba subgen. Erophila-Arten in Aachen und Umgebung. Jahrb. Bochumer Bot. Ver. 12: 37–51.

Cosson E. 1875. Index plantarum in Imperio Maroccano australi recetentius a cl. Balansa, et ab indigenis duobus sub auspiciis cl. Beaumier lectarum. *Bull. Soc. Bot. France* 22: 51–69.

Cosson E. 1880. Plantae novae florae atlanticae. Bull. Soc. Bot. France 27: 67–73.

Esmailbegi Sh., Lysak M. A., Rahiminejad M. R., Mirtadzadini M., Mummenhoff K., Al-Shehbaz I. A. 2017. A taxonomic revision of the genus *Graellsia* (Brassicaceae, tribe *Thlaspideae*). *Phytotaxa* 313(1): 105–116. https://phyto-taxa.mapress.com/pt/article/view/phytotaxa.313.1.7

Global Plants. 2024. URL: https://plants.jstor.org (Accessed 31 October 2024).

Hendriks K. P., Kiefer C., Al-Shehbaz I. A., Bailey C. D., Hooft van Huysduynen A., Nikolov L. A., et al. 2023. Global Brassicaceae phylogeny based on filtering of 1,000-gene dataset. *Curr. Biol.* 33(19): 4052–4058. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.cub.2023.08.026

Hohmann N., Wolf E. M., Lysak M. A., Koch M. A. 2015. A time-calibrated road map of Brassicaceae species radiation and evolutionary history. *Plant Cell* 27(10): 2770–2784. https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.15.00482

Huang X.-C., German D. A., Koch M. A. 2020. Temporal patterns of diversification in Brassicaceae demonstrate decoupling of rate shifts and mesopolyploidization events. Ann. Bot. 125(1): 29–47. https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcz123

Hyam R. D., Jury S. L. 1990. A review of the genus *Graellsia* Boiss. including *Draba* L. section *Helicodraba* Schulz (Brassicaceae). *Bot. J. Linn. Soc.* 102(1): 9–22. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8339.1990.tb01866.x

Jordon-Thaden I., Hase I., Al-Shehbaz I. A., Koch M. A. 2010. Molecular phylogeny and systematics of the genus Draba s. l. (Brassicaceae) and identification of its closest related genera. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* 55(2): 524–540. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2010.02.012

Karl R., Koch M. A. 2013. A world-wide perspective on crucifer speciation and evolution: phylogenetics, biogeography and trait evolution in tribe *Arabideae*. *Ann. Bot.* 112(6): 983–1001. https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mct165

Karl R., Koch M. A. 2014. Phylogenetic signatures of adaptation: The Arabis hirsuta species aggregate (Brassicaceae) revisited. Perspect. Plant Ecol. Evol. Syst. 16(5): 247–264. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ppees.2014.06.001

Karl R., Kiefer C., Ansell S. W., Koch M. A. 2012. Systematics and evolution of Arctic-Alpine Arabis alpina (Brassicaceae) and its closest relatives in eastern Mediterranean. Am. J. Bot. 99(4): 778–794. https://doi.org/10.3732/ajb.1100447

Kiefer M., Schmickl R., German D. A., Mandáková T., Lysak M. A., Al-Shehbaz I. A., Franzke A., Mummenhoff K., Stamatakis A., Koch M. A. 2014. BrassiBase: introduction to a novel knowledge database on Brassicaceae evolution. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 55: e3. https://doi.org/10.1093/pcp/pct158

Koch M. A., Karl R., German D. A. 2017. An underexplored biodiversity of Eastern Mediterranean biota: Systematics and evolutionary history of the genus *Aubrieta* (Brassicaceae). *Ann. Bot.* 119(1): 39–57. https://doi.org/10.1093/ aob/mcw204

Koch M. A., Karl R., German D. A., Al-Shehbaz I. A. 2012. Systematics, taxonomy and biogeography of three new Asian genera from the Brassicaceae tribe *Arabideae*: An ancient distribution circle around the Asian high mountains. *Taxon* 61(5): 955–969. https://doi.org/10.1002/tax.615003

Koch M. A., Karl R., Kiefer C., Al-Shehbaz A. I. 2010. Colonizing the American continent: Systematics of the genus Arabis in North America (Brassicaceae). Am. J. Bot. 97(6): 1040–1057. https://doi.org/10.3732/ajb.0900366

Koch M. A., Kiefer C., Ehrich D., Vogel J., Brochmann C., Mummenhoff K. 2006. Three times out of Asia Minor: the phylogeography of *Arabis alpina* L. (Brassicaceae). *Mol. Ecol.* 15(3): 825–839. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2005.02848.x

Poulter B. A. 1956. The genus Graellsia. Notes Royal Bot. Gard. Edinburgh 22(2): 85–93.

RECOLNAT. 2024. RECOLNAT-ANR-11-INBS-0004. URL: https://explore.recolnat.org/ (Accessed 31 October 2024).

Schulz O. E. 1927. Cruciferae – Draba et Erophila. In: A. Engler (ed.). Pflanzenreich IV. 105 (Hf. 89). Leipzig: Verlag von Wilhelm Engelmann. Pp. 1–396.

Turland N. J., Wiersema J. H., Barrie F. R., Greuter W., Hawksworth D. L., Herendeen P. S., Knapp S., Kusber W.-H., Li D.-Z., Marhold K., May T. W., McNeill J., Monro A. M., Prado J., Price M. J., Smith G. F. 2018. International Code of Nomenclature for algae, fungi, and plants (Shenzhen Code) adopted by the Nineteenth International Botanical Congress Shenzhen, China, July 2017. Glashütten: Koeltz Scientific Books. XXXVIII+254 pp. [Regnum Veg., vol. 159].

Walden N., Kiefer C., Koch M. A. 2024. Unravelling complex hybrid and polyploid evolutionary relationships using phylogenetic placement of paralogs from target enrichment data. *BioRxiv*. https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.06.28.601132